| 01 | |
|----|---|
| 02 | |
| 03 | |
| 04 | |
| 05 | |
| 06 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON |
| 07 | AT SEATTLE |
| 08 | ADRINA ANDERSON,) CASE NO. C09-1859-JLR |
| 09 | Plaintiff, |
| 10 | v.)) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION |
| 11 | MICHAEL ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,) |
| 12 | Defendant. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Plaintiff Adrina Anderson brought this action to seek judicial review of the denial of her |
| 15 | application for Supplemental Security Income by the Commissioner of the Social Security |
| 16 | Administration. The parties have now stipulated that this case should be reversed and |
| 17 | remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). (Dkt. 25.) |
| 18 | Based on the stipulation of the parties, the Court recommends that this case be |
| 19 | REVERSED and REMANDED for further administrative proceedings. The parties have |
| 20 | stipulated that, on remand, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) will: further evaluate, and |
| 21 | specify the weight given to, the medical opinions of Dr. Ly (Tr. 292-300), Dr. Merrill (Tr. |
| 22 | 302-309), Dr. Meinz (Tr. 377-387), Dr. Clifford (Tr. 274-277, 278-291), Dr. Cunningham (Tr. |
| | |
| | REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -1 |

391-398), Dr. Sherris (Tr. 390), and Nurse Practitioner Lawson (Tr. 399-402); further evaluate plaintiff's residual functional capacity; further evaluate, and specify the weight given to, the lay witness statements of Mr. Volkman (Tr. 432-436) and Ms. Govig (Tr. 190-198); if the sequential evaluation proceeds to step five, reevaluate, with the assistance of additional vocational expert testimony and consistent with Social Security Ruling 00-4p, plaintiff's ability to perform other work in the national economy; and include in the decision a finding, and supporting rationale, on the issue of reopening plaintiff's application for SSI disability benefits filed on March 16, 2005. The parties further stipulate that plaintiff may submit additional evidence and arguments to the ALJ on remand, that the ALJ will issue a new decision, and that those other aspects of the ALJ's prior decision not specifically addressed in the stipulated remand are not affirmed. Given the above, the Court recommends that United States District Judge James L. Robart immediately approve this Report and Recommendation and order the case REVERSED

and REMANDED for further administrative proceedings. A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.

DATED this 26th day of July, 2010.

17

02

03

04

05

06

07

08

09

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20 21

22

United States Magistrate Judge